

**Amendments to the Drawings**

The attached sheets of drawings includes a change to Fig. 1, a change to Fig. 10A, and changes to Fig. 10B. These sheets, which includes Figs. 1, 2, 10A, and 10B, replace the original sheets including the same figures. In Fig. 1, previously omitted element 24 has been added. In Figs. 10A and 10B, element 26' has been changed to element 26". In Fig. 10B, element 12 has been changed to element 14.

**Attachments:**

Replacement drawing sheets 1/9 and 6/9

Annotated drawing sheets 1/9 and 6/9 showing changes

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

The Applicant requests that the Examiner consider the following remarks in addition to the above claim amendment.

#### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, ¶2**

Claims 1, 4, and 5 stand rejected under § 112, ¶ 2, “as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.” The Applicant has canceled claim 1. Concerning claim 4, the Examiner correctly noted that “the space” in line 1 lacked proper antecedent basis. The Applicant has amended claim 4 to address this rejection. Concerning claim 5, the Examiner correctly noted that “right wing” in line 3 should read —right wing section—. The Applicant has amended claim 5 to address this rejection.

#### **Allowable Subject Matter**

According to page 7 of the Office action, claims 3 and 7-11 were “objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.” The Applicant has rewritten dependent claim 3 as new independent claim 28, and the Applicant has rewritten dependent claim 7 as new independent claim 29. Original claims 3 and 7 have been canceled. The Applicant has amended claims 8-11, which previously depended directly or indirectly from claim 7, to depend directly or indirectly from new independent claim 29.

On page 7 of the Office action it also states that claims 4 and 5 “would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, ... and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.” As noted above, the Applicant has amended these claims to address the § 112, ¶ 2, rejections. Further, since claim 4 previously depended directly from canceled claim 3, claim 4 has been amended to depend directly from new independent claim 28. The dependency of claim 5, which previously depended directly from dependent 4, has been left unchanged.

#### Withdrawal of the Requirement for Restriction, and Rejoinder of Claims 12-16 and 24

Withdrawn claims 12-16 are all part of non-elected Species 2 (Figs. 7-9) identified by the Examiner in the 28 November 2005 Office action. Withdrawn claim 24 is part of non-elected Species 3 (Figs. 10A and 10B) identified by the Examiner in the 28 November 2005 Office action.

Withdrawn claim 15 depends from claim 5. Since the Applicant believes that claim 5 is now in condition for allowance, the Applicant respectfully requests the rejoinder and allowance of dependent claim 15.

As already noted, the Applicant has rewritten claim 7 per the Examiner's suggestion as new independent claim 29, which the Applicant believes is now in condition for allowance. Further, the Applicant has amended withdrawn claims 12 and 16, each of which previously depended directly from claim 7, to depend directly from new independent claim 29. Thus, the Applicant respectfully requests the rejoinder and allowance of claims 12 and 16.

The Applicant has amended withdrawn claim 13, which previously depended from claim 2, which has been canceled, to depend directly from new independent claim 28. Withdrawn claim 14 remains as originally filed and still depends from claim 13. Thus, the Applicant respectfully requests the rejoinder and allowance of claims 13 and 14.

#### Rejected Claims 17-23

Claim 17-23 previously depended directly or indirectly from independent claim 2, which has been canceled. The Applicant has amended claim 17-23 to depend directly or indirectly from new independent claim 28, which the Applicant believes is in condition for allowance for the reasons already stated above.

#### Amendment to Drawing Sheets 1/9 and 6/9

In Fig. 1, previously omitted element 24 has been added. In Figs. 10A and 10B, element 26' has been changed to element 26". In Fig. 10B, element 12 has been changed to element 14.

Conclusion

The Applicant believes that each of the claims remaining in this application following this amendment, namely claims 4-6, 8-24, 28, and 29, is now in condition for allowance. The following original claims have been canceled in favor of a possible continuation: 1, 2, and 25-27. It is believed that no additional fees are due for entering this amendment. If the Examiner has any further questions, he is encouraged to contact the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted electronically this 7th day of September 2006.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Reed Heimbecher", is written over a horizontal line.

Reed R. Heimbecher, Esq.  
Registration No. 36,353

Customer No. 33486  
HEIMBECHER & ASSOC., LLC  
6125 Salvia Lane  
Arvada, Colorado 80403-2666  
303-279-8888 (TEL)  
303-985-0651 (FAX)  
reed@heimbecher.com

cc: Client  
Docketing

Appl. No. 10/804,317  
Amdt. Dated 7 September 2006  
Reply to Office action of 15 June 2006

eFiled via EFS-Web

## APPENDIX